# San Joaquin County

# Children and Families Commission Annual Report: Fiscal Year 2000-2001

| In the event we have questions about the information contained in th  | is  |
|---|-----|
| county report, please give us the following information for your cour | nty |
| contact:  |     |

Name: Lani Schiff-Ross

**Phone Number:** (209)468-0250

E-Mail: lschiff-ross@co.san-joaquin.ca.us

### **Current Status and Strategic Directions**

# 1. 0 – 5 Child Population and 2. Ethnicity of Children 0-5:

| Race/Ethnicity                                   | Age       | San Joaquin<br>County | % of County | California |
|--|-----------|-----------------------|-------------|------------|
| Latino   | Total < 5 | 19,759                | 44%         | 1,187,878  |
| White  | Total < 5 | 14,783                | 33%         | 788,472    |
| Asian  | Total < 5 | 4,490                 | 10%         | 214,466    |
| Native<br>Hawaiian/Other<br>Pacific<br>Islanders | Total < 5 | 111                   | 0.2%        | 7,761      |
| African<br>Americans                             | Total < 5 | 3,074                 | 7%          | 160,166    |
| American<br>Indian and<br>Alaskan Native         | Total < 5 | 185                   | 0.4%        | 11,585     |
| Other  | Total < 5 | 110                   | 0.2%        | 6,932      |
| Multiple Races                                   | Total < 5 | 2,448                 | 5%          | 109,771    |
| All Children                                     | 0 – 5     | 44,960                | 100%        | 2,486,981  |

According to the 2000 U.S. Census, there are nearly 45,000 children ages 0 to 5 in San Joaquin County, representing 8% of the total County population of nearly 564,000. That percentage is somewhat higher than for California, where children 0 to 5 represent 7.3% of the total population. The cities with the highest percentage of children ages 0 to 5 are Tracy (9.4%), Lathrop (8.8%) and Stockton (8.6%)

California Department of Finance projections estimate an increase of 15,675 children ages 0 to 5 between 2000 and 2010, an increase of 35%, and an additional increase of 15,104 children ages 0 to 5 between 2010 and 2020, representing an additional increase of 25% during that time period. The cities with the largest growth in the 0 to 5 population between 1990 and 2000 are Tracy and Lathrop, with growth rates of 55% and 44% respectively.

In keeping with general population trends, the population of children ages 0 to 4 in San Joaquin County is becoming increasingly diverse. The percentage of Caucasian and Asian/Pacific Islander children has fallen during the past decade, while the proportion of Hispanic and African-American children has increased. Whereas Caucasian children were the dominant group in 1990, they are on a par with Hispanic children in 2000.

# 3. County Narrative:

a. The child population of SJC is very diverse, with a high proportion of low-income families and a substantial number of children in rural or hard-to-serve areas. The strategic plan identifies needs areas in terms of cultural group and geographic location. The planning process was very active in soliciting input from the Latino, African American, Cambodian, Hmong and Lao communities. The Commission addresses their needs through emphasizing cultural and linguistic competence in its application and monitoring process. The Commission is currently developing its own formal standards for cultural competence. The Commission's application and proposal review processes also include specific requirements for the empirical documentation of high needs populations and areas. The proposal review process includes a highly diverse group of reviewers familiar with the needs of the

County. Finally, socio-economic needs are addressed through our support of programs to promote family self-sufficiency. Currently 9 of our funded programs address this issue.

b. San Joaquin County is located in the heart of the central valley of California. In 2000 population of 564,000, San Joaquin County is 86.0% urban and 14.0% rural. San Joaquin County is not only an agricultural county, but also a commuter county, with close links to Sacramento and the Bay Area. Consequently, from 1990 to 2000, the County's population increased by 17.2%. San Joaquin County's population is 47.4% white, 30.6% Hispanic, 11.3% Asian/Pacific Islanders. African Americans comprise 6.4% of the total while Native Americans make up .6% of the population. A total of 3.5% classify themselves as two or more races.

In terms of income, the U.S Census bureau shows in 1997 (the most recent data available) that San Joaquin County has a median household income of \$35,629, while the state has a higher median household income of \$39,595. As would be expected, San Joaquin has a poverty rate of 18.8%, while California comes in at 16.0%. San Joaquin County has a child poverty rate of 27.3%, compared to California's rate of 16.0%.

- c. According to the results of our strategic planning process, the major needs within San Joaquin County are a lack of culturally and linguistically appropriate services of all kinds; significant barriers to accessing services, especially for non-English speakers; a severe shortage of child care; poor maternal and child health among African American families; low levels of parental literacy, associated with many children not being fully prepared to start school; a high proportion of low-performing schools and a fragmented system for delivering services to children and families. There is also a shortage of service providers for children and families in the rural parts of the County. The Commission also found that many service providers in the County were unfamiliar with evaluation and data management.
- d. The Commission made two rounds of funding in two of the four initiative areas. The first round covered children's health and prevention and treatment of alcohol, tobacco and drug use. The second round covered parent education and child care (the Commission approved the second round of funding in this reporting period, yet this round of funding was not approved by the Board of Supervisors until the new fiscal year, thus, the second round will not be reported on activities in this report. Beyond the four initiatives, the Commission also encouraged applicants to submit applications for "special projects" which addressed needs these areas. There are currently 11 prime programs receiving funds from the Commission for this reporting period, with another 14 programs allocated funds from the Commission (funds to begin in the next fiscal year). Most of these programs target low-income families. Two of the programs are for children with special needs. In addition to these major awards for service delivery, the Commission has also awarded mini-grants of up to \$10,000. Many of these grants have been used for purchasing or upgrading play equipment for children in low-income areas of the County.

There has been little direct impact from the Commission's direct funded programs to date since they are only several months old. Most of our contracts encompass a three-year period and the providers are in the early start up phase of their projects. However, there has been indirect impact in the service system. There is now a much higher level of collaboration among service providers due to Commission-funded programs that require working together. Agencies are meeting and working together who had previously been competitors. Furthermore, the Commission has held or cosponsored training for service providers in grant writing and evaluation, both of which have increased agency capacity.

e. The Commission's program development approach has been to encourage the community to identify creative responses to the needs identified in the strategic plan. The plan described needs in four broad areas (children's health; alcohol, tobacco and drug use prevention and treatment; parent

education and child care.) and a special project category. The RFP emphasizes innovative service delivery designs, inter-agency collaboration, empirical documentation of need, cultural competence and results-based accountability. Using this framework, the Commission has supported the development and delivery of needed direct service programs within the County. The Commission's current strategic planning process is building on the foundation of direct services laid in the first two funding rounds to include system integration, capacity building and the Commission's own standards for cultural competence.

## 4. Major Accomplishments:

This fiscal year has been an exciting year for the Commission. Highlights include:

- ⇒In August 2000, the Program Coordinator was hired
- ⇒In October 2000, the first round of the Request for Proposal (RFP) was released
- ⇒In January 2001 the Commission approved 11 proposals for funding
- ⇒In January 2001 the second round of RFPs was released
- ⇒In February 2001 the Commission released it's first round of RFP for mini grants (\$10,000 or less)
- ⇒In May 2001 the Board of Supervisors approved the contracts for our first round of contractors
- ⇒In May 2001 the Commission approved the second round of funding for approximately 4 million dollars

The Commission has focused efforts on the start up and implementation of the program, including developing a policies and procedures manual, developing a system for the review of proposals, developing contract management procedures and filing system, creating contractor forms and contracts, assisting contractors with the development of evaluation tools, developing a website (through the State Commission staff), hiring staff and recommending funding for two rounds of proposals and one round of mini grants.

## 5. Status of Reporting and Data Collection:

The Commission has a results-based accountability system in place. The proposal and contracting process requires service providers to identify specific measurable objectives and indicators to be addressed. The Commission has engaged an independent evaluation firm to assist with the identification of the results to be achieved, the creation of data collection instruments, coordination of data collection, analysis and reporting of findings and the delivery of on-going technical assistance to all contractors. This training includes evaluation concepts as well as specific technical aspects of data collection and management. The Commissioners are committed to using outcomes data in assessing the effectiveness of the service system and in targeting the allocation of resources.

Service providers submit their quarterly reports either in written form or on disk. The evaluator has developed an Access-based database for their use in managing client data. The Commission is currently negotiating with a web-based data management firm to create on-line data systems for contractors. When that system is developed, all contractors will be required to participate and will receive training and assistance in the use of that system.

The Commission has produced a report on the well being of children and families, which monitors a range of indicator data. The first report was included in the Commission's strategic plan. That report furthers the creation of a longitudinal approach to understanding changes in the County. The Commission's data report is now being used by other County organizations serving families and children. Community-wide measures of impact will be used to assess broad changes resulting from the Commission's work. The changes among individual and family service users will be tracked by our client outcome data system

### **Summary of Innovative and Promising Practices**

### 1. Program Highlights:

The Commission has provided support to 25 programs and 15 mini grant programs through two rounds of funding (and one cycle of mini grants). The first round of providers have only recently hired staff and begun serving community members. The second round is still in the early start-up phase. Neither round has had sufficient time to demonstrate any promising results, as documented through its evaluation or progress reports. However, there is anecdotal evidence that the groundwork is being established for long-term impact

The Commission's strategic plan for the coming year identifies some promising practices for system improvements in the coming year. The Commission will take an active role in coordinating the delivery of home-based services among its providers to ensure effective use of resources and maximum benefit for families. The Commission will also create minimum case management standards to encourage common procedures for intake, assessment, referral and tracking for Prop 10-funded services. Finally, the Commission is developing more specific standards for cultural competence to ensure that the needs of all families and young children in the County are addressed. Programmatically, the Commission has decided to prioritize two high need service areas – expanding the supply and quality of child care and providing parent education with an emphasis on infant development – for subsequent funding in the next year. The Commission will be targeting its efforts to the highest need zip codes and populations in the County, rather than attempting to address the full range of needs possible under Prop 10 guidelines. The Commission also started a Service Mapping Project in this fiscal year, with results to be shared in the new fiscal year. The Commission is committed to assessing the needs of the community, based on the updated community indicators report, as well as the service mapping report, to provide funding for projects in strategic areas.

### 2. Service Integration and Collaboration:

Much discussion has taken place on the need to integrate services and collaborate with others. In fact, these issues have become "buzz words" throughout our community and communities as a whole. The Commission is committed to seeing this become concretized and not just an issue of teaching agencies new language. The Commission also understands that this issue is a process, not an event, and that small incremental steps in the right direction must be celebrated.

From the beginning of the RFP process, agencies that appeared to have potential duplication of services were asked to come to the table for a cooperative meeting. The focus was not on mandating agencies to submit a single proposal, but to provide new opportunities for agencies to work together. Often, the result of these meetings was that agencies were clear on defining their target population and assuring each other that they would not be duplicating services. In one case, two agencies recognized that there may be a lot of duplication and chose to submit a joint application. That proposal was funded for asthma education and medical provider education on asthma issues.

There have also been opportunities to integrate and collaborate on a larger scale. Several funding agencies, including United Way, Human Services Agency, Health Care Services and County Office of Education have met to begin discussions on common community indicators and "speaking the same language". Word is getting out to the community that as a Commission, we are not going to merely preach collaboration, but instead, we are committed to practicing collaboration. There are many potential opportunities for the funded agencies to work together on joint projects in the next fiscal year. Through these opportunities, we are expecting to see improvement and increased capacity in the quality of services that agencies provide.

# County Revenues and Expenditures for the period July 1, 2000- June 30, 2001

**County Revenues** 

| Prop 10 Cash Revenues  | ollar amounts here. |
|--|---------------------|
| Prop 10 Allocation   | \$<br>8,338,806     |
| Monthly disbursements  |                     |
| Augmentation Funds from the State Commission:                      |                     |
| Administrative Augmentation Funds                                  | \$<br>0             |
| Travel Augmentation Funds  | \$<br>0             |
| Minimum \$200,000 augmentation funds                               | \$<br>0             |
| Other State Prop 10 Program Funding Grant funds, state initiatives |                     |
| Child Care Retention Incentives                                    | \$<br>0             |
| Other (specify)  | \$<br>0             |
| Revenues from Sources Other than Prop 10                           |                     |
| List:  | \$<br>0             |
|  | \$<br>0             |
|  | \$<br>0             |
| Interest Earned and Balance Brought Forward                        |                     |
| Interest Earned  | \$<br>872,885       |
| Fund Balance brought forward from prior year(s)                    | \$<br>13,846,166    |
| Total Cash Revenue   | \$<br>23,057,857    |

Annual Expenditures and Encumbrances

|  | Expended or Encumbered for FY 00-01 |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Program Expenditures and Encumbrances (e.g. grants, initiatives, programs)   | \$ 132,298                          |  |
| Implementation Expenditures and Encumbrances (all expenditures/encumbrances other than program expenditures – see detail on next page) | \$ 374,536                          |  |
| Total Cash Expenditures  | \$ 506,834                          |  |

Financial Summary

| T mancial Gammary   |        |                         |
|---|--------|-------------------------|
|   | July 1 | , 2000 to June 30, 2001 |
|   |        |                         |
| Total Cash Revenue  | \$     | 23,057,857              |
|   |        |                         |
| Total Cash Expenditures   | \$     | 506,834                 |
| •   |        |                         |
| Fund Balance  | \$     | 22,551,023              |
| * Fund Balance that is not encumbered, but is committed or set- |        |                         |
| aside for current or future grants, initiatives, sustainability | \$     | 12,366,147              |
| reserve, etc.   |        |                         |
|   |        |                         |
| Fund Balance Uncommitted  | \$     | 10,184,876              |

<sup>\*</sup>Committed funds in this section are not encumbered for this fiscal year and will not show up in the fiscal audit.

# **Implementation Expenditure Detail**

In this section you have the opportunity to report details of the implementation expenditures reported in the previous section. In the third column, check if the amount reported is based on accounting or best estimate. See glossary for expanded description of expenditure categories.

|   | expended or cumbered for FY 00-01 | acc | Based on counting or best estimate: |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|
| Evaluation Expenditures   | \$<br>30,075                      | x   | Accounting Best Estimate            |
| <ul> <li>Administrative Expenditures</li> <li>Commission Operations and Support (meeting costs and support, office operations, training and technical assistance, development of policies and procedures, etc.)</li> <li>Grant-Making Operations and other Fund Allocations</li> <li>Contract Management</li> <li>Fiscal Management</li> <li>Tracking of and Compliance with Legal Requirements, including preparing reports to the State Commission</li> </ul> | \$<br>191,672                     | v   | Accounting<br>Best Estimate         |
| Planning, Communication and Outreach Expenditures  Strategic Planning  Community Outreach (media, websites, other community outreach, civic engagement)  State-level Involvement, Communication, and Planning for State-Level Initiatives  Activities to Promote Collaboration and Integration of Services and Leveraging of Resources  | \$<br>152,789                     | x   | Accounting<br>Best Estimate         |
| Total Implementation Expenditures   | \$<br>374,536                     |     |                                     |

**Tracked In-kind:** If your Commission receives in-kind resources and tracks them in the fiscal accounting system (and therefore, they are reflected in the fiscal audit), please describe them here, noting major sources and type of in-kind, as well as the total amount of tracked in-kind.

| N | 1 | Α |
|---|---|---|
|   |   |   |

**Untracked In-kind:** If your Commission receives in-kind resources but does <u>not</u> track them in the fiscal accounting system (and therefore, they are not reflected in the audit), please describe them here, noting major sources and type of in-kind.

N/A

**Discussion of Additional Cash Resources:** If your Commission activities have brought additional cash resources into your community in the last fiscal year, describe your successes in this regard. How were the funds used, or for what use are they intended?

We will be pursuing fiscal leveraging for our contractors in the next fiscal year.

# **AMERICAN LUNG ASSOCIATION**

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 1 of 11 July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001

| 1. RESULT<br>AREAS   | FOR EACH I  | RESULT AREA  | =     | 4. PAI<br>A = ACTU<br>DUPLICAT                                  |   | 5. TOTAL<br>NUMBER<br>OF<br>MONTHS                                  | 6. TOTAL<br>FUNDS SPENT<br>IN EACH<br>RESULT AREA  |  |
|--|---|--|-------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Please mark<br>the result area<br>(s) that apply to<br>this project or<br>group of<br>projects | 2.Intended<br>Result(s)  Enter one or<br>more codes from<br>Appendix 1. | 3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2                            |       | Total<br>children<br>prenatal<br>to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total family<br>members of<br>children<br>prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families | Total<br>months<br>project(s)<br>has/have<br>been in<br>operation<br>during FY<br>00/01. | Total funds<br>spent on each<br>of the four<br>result areas. |
| ⊠ Improved Systems   | S1, S2, S5  |  |       | 0   | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01  | \$9,992.00   |
|  | H4, H7, H12, H13  | 2,3,4,5,9,10,11,12,<br>14,15,16,17,26,36,<br>37,39,41,42,57,58,<br>59,66,67,68,69,70 |       | 0   | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01  | \$9,992.00   |
| ☐ Improved<br>Child  |   |  |       |   |   |   |  |  |
| Development Improved Family  | F1, F2  |  |       | 0   | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01  | \$9,992.00   |
| Functioning Note: Please us  | e this section to ex  | plain or qualify any o   | of th | ne informati  | ion provided ab   | ove.  |  |  |

# CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION COUNCIL (Training Mandated Reporters)

# Activity Summary Sheet Number <u>2 of 11</u> July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001

| 1. RESULT<br>AREAS   | FOR EACH I   | RESULT AREA   | -    | 4. PAI<br>A = ACTU<br>DUPLICAT                                  |   | 5. TOTAL<br>NUMBER<br>OF<br>MONTHS                                  | 6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA                            |  |
|--|--|---|------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Please mark<br>the result area<br>(s) that apply to<br>this project or<br>group of<br>projects | 2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1. | 3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2 |      | Total<br>children<br>prenatal<br>to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total family<br>members of<br>children<br>prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families | Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01. | Total funds<br>spent on each<br>of the four<br>result areas. |
| ⊠ Improved<br>Systems  | S5   | 59, 72  |      | 0   | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01   | \$808.00   |
| Improved Child Health  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| ☐ Improved<br>Child  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| Development  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| ☐ Improved Family  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| Functioning  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| Note: Please use   | e this section to ex   | plain or qualify any o                                    | of t | he informat   | ion provided ab   | ove.  |   |  |
|  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |

CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION COUNCIL Activity Summary Sheet Number <u>3 of 11</u> (Creating Healthy Environments for Children) July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001

| 1. RESULT<br>AREAS   | FOR EACH I  | RESULT AREA  | =     | 4. PAI<br>A = ACTU<br>DUPLICAT                                  |   | 5. TOTAL<br>NUMBER<br>OF<br>MONTHS  | 6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA   |  |
|--|---|--|-------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Please mark<br>the result area<br>(s) that apply to<br>this project or<br>group of<br>projects | 2.Intended<br>Result(s)<br>Enter one or<br>more codes from<br>Appendix 1. | 3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2  |       | Total<br>children<br>prenatal<br>to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total family<br>members of<br>children<br>prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total<br>providers<br>that serve<br>children<br>prenatal to 5<br>or their<br>families | Total<br>months<br>project(s)<br>has/have<br>been in<br>operation<br>during FY<br>00/01. | Total funds<br>spent on each<br>of the four<br>result areas. |
| ⊠ Improved Systems   | S1, S2, S4, S5  |  |       | 0   | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01  | \$8,576.00   |
| <ul><li>☑ Improved</li><li>Child</li><li>Health</li></ul>                                      | H13   |  |       | 0   | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01  | \$8,576.00   |
| ☐ Improved Child  Development  |   |  |       |   |   |   |  |  |
| Improved Family  | F2, F3, F11   | 3, 9, 10, 13, 36, 37,<br>39, 43, 44, 54, 56,<br>57, 61, 68 |       | 0   | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01  | \$8,576.00   |
| Note: Please us  | <br>e this section to ex  | <br>plain or qualify any o                                 | of th | he informat   | ion provided ab   | ove.  |  |  |

# Activity Summary Sheet Number <u>4 of 11</u> July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001

| 1. RESULT<br>AREAS   | FOR EACH   | RESULT AREA   | A = ACT   | 4. PARTICIPANTS SERVED  A = ACTUAL E = ESTIMATE D = DUPLICATE                     |   |  | 6. TOTAL<br>FUNDS SPENT<br>IN EACH<br>RESULT AREA            |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Please mark<br>the result area<br>(s) that apply to<br>this project or<br>group of<br>projects | 2.Intended<br>Result(s)  Enter one or<br>more codes from<br>Appendix 1.  | 3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2 | Total<br>children<br>prenatal<br>to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total family<br>members of<br>children<br>prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families | Total<br>months<br>project(s)<br>has/have<br>been in<br>operation<br>during FY<br>00/01. | Total funds<br>spent on each<br>of the four<br>result areas. |  |  |  |
| ⊠ Improved Systems   | S2, S5   |   | **  | **  |   | 5/01 & 6/01  | \$5,726.50   |  |  |  |
| Improved Child Health  |  |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improved Child   |  |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development  Improved Family   | F10, F12   | 9, 10, 15, 36, 39,<br>42                                  | **  | **  |   | 5/01 & 6/01  | \$5,726.50   |  |  |  |
| Functioning  |  |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: Please us<br>F12 = Reduce U  | Note: Please use this section to explain or qualify any of the information provided above.  F12 = Reduce Unintended Pregnancy  ** This project is focused on reducing unwanted pregnancy. 279 clients were contacted during the two months of funding. |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,  |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

# Activity Summary Sheet Number <u>5 of 11</u> July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001

| 1. RESULT<br>AREAS   | FOR EACH I   | RESULT AREA   | -    | 4. PAI<br>A = ACTU<br>DUPLICAT                                  |   | 5. TOTAL<br>NUMBER<br>OF<br>MONTHS  | 6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA                            |  |
|--|--|---|------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Please mark<br>the result area<br>(s) that apply to<br>this project or<br>group of<br>projects | 2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1. | 3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2 |      | Total<br>children<br>prenatal<br>to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total family<br>members of<br>children<br>prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total<br>providers<br>that serve<br>children<br>prenatal to 5<br>or their<br>families | Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01. | Total funds<br>spent on each<br>of the four<br>result areas. |
| ⊠ Improved<br>Systems  | S5   |   |      | 0   | 0   | 2   | 5/01 & 6/01   | \$4,835.33   |
|  | H9   | 29, 31, 33, 34, 36,<br>44, 45, 48                         |      | 0   | 0   | 2   | 5/01 & 6/01   | \$4,835.33   |
| Improved Child   |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| Development  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| <ul><li>✓ Improved Family</li><li>Functioning</li></ul>  | F7   |   |      | 0   | 0   | 2   | 5/01 & 6/01   | \$4,835.33   |
|  | e this section to ex   | │<br>plain or qualify any c                               | of t | he informat   | ion provided ab   | ove.  |   |  |
|  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |

SJC PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES (Comprehensive Perinatal Education)

# Activity Summary Sheet Number <u>6 of 11</u> July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001

| 1. RESULT<br>AREAS   | FOR EACH I   | RESULT AREA   | -    | 4. PAI<br>A = ACTU<br>DUPLICAT                                  |   | 5. TOTAL<br>NUMBER<br>OF<br>MONTHS                                  | 6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA                            |  |
|--|--|---|------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Please mark<br>the result area<br>(s) that apply to<br>this project or<br>group of<br>projects | 2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1. | 3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2 |      | Total<br>children<br>prenatal<br>to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total family<br>members of<br>children<br>prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families | Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01. | Total funds<br>spent on each<br>of the four<br>result areas. |
| ⊠ Improved Systems   | S1, S4, S5   | 39, 41, 42, 68, 69,<br>72                                 |      | 0   | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01   | \$0  |
| Improved Child Health  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| ☐ Improved<br>Child  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| Development  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| Improved Family  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| Functioning  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| Note: Please use   | e this section to ex   | plain or qualify any o                                    | of t | he informat   | ion provided ab   | ove.  |   |  |

# SJC PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES (Home Visiting Program)

# Activity Summary Sheet Number <u>7 of 11</u> July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001

| 1. RESULT<br>AREAS   | FOR EACH I   | RESULT AREA   |      | 4. PA<br>A = ACTU<br>DUPLICAT                                   |   | 5. TOTAL<br>NUMBER<br>OF<br>MONTHS                                  | 6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA                            |  |
|--|--|---|------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Please mark<br>the result area<br>(s) that apply to<br>this project or<br>group of<br>projects | 2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1. | 3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2 |      | Total<br>children<br>prenatal<br>to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total family<br>members of<br>children<br>prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families | Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01. | Total funds<br>spent on each<br>of the four<br>result areas. |
| ⊠ Improved<br>Systems  | S1, S4, S5   |   |      | 0   | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01   | \$0  |
| ☐ Improved<br>Child<br>Health  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| ⊠ Improved<br>Child  | H1, H2, H8, H9   |   |      | 0   | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01   | \$0  |
| Development  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| ☐ Improved<br>Family   |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
| Functioning  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |
|  | e this section to ex   | plain or qualify any o                                    | of t | he informat   | ion provided ab   | ove.  |   |  |
|  |  |   |      |   |   |   |   |  |

SJC PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES (Tobacco Free Collaborative)

# Activity Summary Sheet Number <u>8 of 11</u> July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001

| 1. RESULT<br>AREAS   | FOR EACH RESULT AREA   |   |  | 4. PAI<br>A = ACTU<br>DUPLICAT                                  |   | 5. TOTAL<br>NUMBER<br>OF<br>MONTHS                                  | 6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA   |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Please mark<br>the result area<br>(s) that apply to<br>this project or<br>group of<br>projects | 2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.                             | 3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2 |  | Total<br>children<br>prenatal<br>to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total family<br>members of<br>children<br>prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families | Total<br>months<br>project(s)<br>has/have<br>been in<br>operation<br>during FY<br>00/01. | Total funds<br>spent on each<br>of the four<br>result areas. |  |
| ⊠ Improved Systems   | S1, S2, S3, S4,<br>S5  |   |  | 0   | 0   | 8   | 5/01 & 6/01  | \$0  |  |
|  | H9, H12  | 2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 17,<br>36, 39, 57, 60, 67,<br>68         |  | 0   | 0   | 8   | 5/01 & 6/01  | \$0  |  |
| ☐ Improved Child   |  |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Development  |  |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| ⊠ Improved Family  | F1, F2   |   |  | 0   | 0   | 8   | 5/01 & 6/01  | \$0  |  |
| Functioning  |  |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Note: Please use   | Note: Please use this section to explain or qualify any of the information provided above. |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |

# **UNITED CEREBRAL PALSY**

# Activity Summary Sheet Number <u>9 of 11</u> July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001

| 1. RESULT<br>AREAS   | FOR EACH RESULT AREA   |   |     | 4. PAI<br>A = ACTU<br>DUPLICAT                                  |   | 5. TOTAL<br>NUMBER<br>OF<br>MONTHS                                  | 6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA                            |  |  |
|--|--|---|-----|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Please mark<br>the result area<br>(s) that apply to<br>this project or<br>group of<br>projects | 2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1. | 3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2 |     | Total<br>children<br>prenatal<br>to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total family<br>members of<br>children<br>prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families | Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01. | Total funds<br>spent on each<br>of the four<br>result areas. |  |
| Improved Systems   |  |   |     |   |   |   |   |  |  |
| ⊠ Improved<br>Child<br>Health  | H4   | 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11,<br>56, 60, 72                      |     | 0   | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01   | \$36,681.88  |  |
| ☐ Improved<br>Child  |  |   |     |   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Development  |  |   |     |   |   |   |   |  |  |
| ☐ Improved Family  |  |   |     |   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Functioning  |  |   |     |   |   |   |   |  |  |
| Note: Please use 72 = Equipment  |  | plain or qualify any o                                    | f t | he informat   | ion provided ab   | ove.  |   |  |  |
|  |  |   |     |   |   |   |   |  |  |

VIVO, Inc.

# Activity Summary Sheet Number <u>10 of 11</u> July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001

| 1. RESULT<br>AREAS   | FOR EACH I   | RESULT AREA   | = | 4. PAI<br>A = ACTU<br>DUPLICAT                                  |   | 5. TOTAL<br>NUMBER<br>OF<br>MONTHS                                  | 6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA                            |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Please mark<br>the result area<br>(s) that apply to<br>this project or<br>group of<br>projects | 2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.                             | 3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2 |   | Total<br>children<br>prenatal<br>to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total family<br>members of<br>children<br>prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families | Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01. | Total funds<br>spent on each<br>of the four<br>result areas. |  |  |
| ⊠ Improved<br>Systems  | S5   |   |   | 0   | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01   | \$2,822.00   |  |  |
| ⊠ Improved<br>Child<br>Health  | H7, H9   | 37, 42  |   | 0   | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01   | \$2,822.00   |  |  |
| Improved Child   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Development Improved Family Functioning  |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  | Note: Please use this section to explain or qualify any of the information provided above. |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 11 of 11 July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001

| 1. RESULT<br>AREAS   | FOR EACH I   | RESULT AREA   |                | 4. PAR<br>A = ACTU<br>JPLICATE                         |   | 5. TOTAL<br>NUMBER<br>OF<br>MONTHS                                  | 6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA   |  |
|--|--|---|----------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Please mark<br>the result area<br>(s) that apply to<br>this project or<br>group of<br>projects | 2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1. | 3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2 | ch<br>pr<br>to | otal<br>nildren<br>enatal<br>5 <sup>th</sup><br>rthday | Total family<br>members of<br>children<br>prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup><br>birthday | Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families | Total<br>months<br>project(s)<br>has/have<br>been in<br>operation<br>during FY<br>00/01. | Total funds<br>spent on each<br>of the four<br>result areas. |
| ⊠ Improved<br>Systems  | S1, S2, S4, S5   |   | 0              |  | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01  | \$2,500.00   |
| ☐ Improved<br>Child<br>Health  |  |   |                |  |   |   |  |  |
| ⊠ Improved Child   | H13  |   | 0              |  | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01  | \$2,500.00   |
| Development  Improved Family  Functioning  | F2, F3, F6, F9   | 9, 11, 14, 15, 17,<br>39, 60, 66, 68, 70                  | 0              |  | 0   |   | 5/01 & 6/01  | \$2,500.00   |
|  | e this section to ex   | plain or qualify any o                                    | of the         | informati  | on provided ab  | ove.  |  |  |